MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 18 JUNE 2019

PRESENT

- Councillor Adams Chair
- Councillor Garvey
- Councillor McLoughlin
- Councillor Perkin
- Councillor Rogers
- Councillor Rushton
- Councillor Salt
- Councillor Smith

Other attendees:

Councillor Harper

8. APOLOGIES

- Councillor Davies the Mayor
- Councillor Hawley
- Councillor Redfern
- Councillor Swift

9. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- a) Disclosable Pecuniary Interests and Dispensations: None.
- b) Other Interests: SMD/2019/0052 Councillor McLoughlin lives on the street; SMD/2019/0323 Councillor Rogers lives close to this location; SMD/2019/0323 Councillor Adams will relinquish the Chair for this discussion as he knows the applicant. SMD/2019/0188 Councillor Rogers knows Diving Club members.

10. MINUTES

The Minutes of the Planning Committee meeting held on Tuesday 21 May 2019 were signed as an accurate record.

11. ITEM REQUESTED BY COUNCILLOR GARVEY- BOVIS DEVELOPMENT 'UPLANDS MILL'

Councillor Adams requested that this agenda item should be moved ahead of the Neighbourhood Plan update; an introduction would be provided by Councillor Garvey, with an option to consider this before further discussion.

Councillor Garvey introduced this agenda item. The Bovis Homes development had been an issue raised by Biddulph North residents during the election campaign. Councillor Garvey presented a series of photographs of the issues in the area. He had sought the views of the Biddulph Valley North Community Association and wanted to make Councillors aware of the situation to resolve the issues.

Councillor Garvey had raised concerns with Staffordshire Moorlands District Council (SMDC) Planning Officers in relation to the unfinished nature of the estate:

- Bins have not been emptied.
- The path to the playground is blocked, making access difficult. A cutthrough has been developed, which is damaging shrubbery.
- Fencing around the balancing pond is inadequate and dangerous.
- Building materials have been abandoned.

Councillor Garvey reminded Councillors that this area has been added to the list of Local Green Space designations in the draft Neighbourhood Plan.

Councillor Garvey was concerned about the safety of the area and felt it was the responsibility of the developed to ensure that this area was in a safe and fit condition.

Councillor Garvey proposed that he continues to pursue this issue through the SMDC Planning team and, if unsuccessful, contacts the Head of Planning at Bovis. This should be kept on the agenda for the Planning Committee.

This item was considered again after the Neighbourhood Plan update, to allow Councillors to consider their reaction.

12. BIDDULPH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

To receive a verbal update on the development of the Neighbourhood Plan Working Group.

The Chief Officer updated members that the next meeting would be on Wednesday 3 July at 6.30pm.

The draft Neighbourhood Plan and Neighbourhood Development Order (NDO) had been submitted to SMDC for screening. In addition, the Chief Officer and Hannah Barter had met consultants from AECOM regarding the NDO design codes work.

Finally, the Chief Officer noted that a consultation aimed at town centre traders had taken place to seek their views on the content of the NDO.

Councillor Rogers wondered whether the comments from the screening would be available for the July meeting of the Working Group. The Chief Officer was not anticipating that the screening process would highlight any areas of concern, but this would be monitored.

11. continued

Councillor Smith wondered whether the issues raised by Councillor Garvey were a wider issue with Bovis, or were specific to this play area.

Councillor Garvey felt that the issues were mainly around access to the play area and the environment around it. There are wider issues with the roads; he was hoping to seek specific advice on these from the appropriate Officer.

Councillor Smith noted that a few people had commented on the overall finish of the estate, especially the road surfaces.

Councillor Garvey noted that the roads were another example of the unfinished nature of the area; his current concern was the risk to children and the need for adequate fencing.

Councillor Rogers supported what Councillor Garvey was trying to achieve; this had taken months and not years.

Councillor Adams thanked Councillor Garvey for his work; a report would be provided at the next meeting.

13. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

SMD/2019/0052	18 Essex Drive	Two storey rear extension and single
		storey carport extension
		REVISED PLAN

Councillor McLoughlin noted that the plans had been approved in March; these were revised plans.

Councillor Rogers felt that the recommendation should be 'No adverse comments, subject to no valid neighbour concerns'. Councillor Rogers wondered if this was 'neighbourly'.

Councillor Salt questioned whether this was over-development of the site. Councillor Smith felt this was not in-keeping with the neighbourhood.

Agreed. No adverse comments, subject to no valid neighbour concerns.

SMD/2019/0188	Biddulph Valley	Proposed metal secure container 8 x	
	Leisure Centre	10 feet at the rear of leisure centre	

Councillor Salt wondered what this unit would store and how permanent it was.

The Chief Officer noted that the application stated this would hold scuba equipment.

Councillor Smith stated that this was expensive equipment, which could be at risk if not properly stored.

Councillor Salt felt there should be stipulations that the equipment was appropriately stored, following consultation with the Fire Service.

Councillor Harper agreed, there is a risk of explosion if there is compressed air.

No adverse comments, subject to appropriate compliance with health and safety.

SMD/2019/0222	Knowle Style	Proposed agricultural storage building
	Farm	
	The Hollands	

Councillor Garvey wondered if the farm was a genuine agricultural enterprise.

Councillor Salt noted that this is greenbelt land.

Approved subject to no contravention of the greenbelt.

SMD/2019/0253	Trent Head Farm	Proposed 1, 3-bedroom dwelling, 1,		
	Barrage Road	four-bedroom dwelling and the		
		extension to the existing farmhouse		
		including proposed garages.		
Councillor Jones arrived at the meeting and noted that outline planning				
permission had been granted for older people's accommodation; this is not				
that sort of accommodation.				
Councillor Smith	noted that this was	a substantial development; is this		
overdevelopmen				
		than the derelict buildings that are		
		es queried whether this contravened		
the initial condition				
Councillor Garvey noted that houses were needed; he doubted whether				
•		·		
these were the ri	ght houses. Is this e	xecutive development through the		
these were the rig	ght houses. Is this e cial development?	xecutive development through the		
these were the rig 'back door' of soo Approved subject	ght houses. Is this e cial development?	·		
these were the rig	ght houses. Is this e cial development?	xecutive development through the		
these were the right back door' of soc Approved subjective.	ght houses. Is this e cial development? to application not	xecutive development through the contravening initial conditions for the		
these were the rig 'back door' of soo Approved subject	ght houses. Is this e cial development?	xecutive development through the contravening initial conditions for the 2 storey side extension and first floor		
these were the right back door' of social Approved subject site. SMD/2019/0296	ght houses. Is this ectal development? t to application not 8 Ruabon Close	xecutive development through the contravening initial conditions for the		
these were the right back door' of soc Approved subjective.	ght houses. Is this ectal development? t to application not 8 Ruabon Close	xecutive development through the contravening initial conditions for the 2 storey side extension and first floor		
these were the right back door' of social Approved subject site. SMD/2019/0296	ght houses. Is this estial development? t to application not 8 Ruabon Close nents.	xecutive development through the contravening initial conditions for the 2 storey side extension and first floor		
these were the rig 'back door' of soc Approved subject site. SMD/2019/0296 No adverse comr	ght houses. Is this estial development? t to application not 8 Ruabon Close nents.	contravening initial conditions for the 2 storey side extension and first floor extension over garage		
these were the rig 'back door' of soo Approved subject site. SMD/2019/0296 No adverse common SMD/2019/0312	ght houses. Is this estial development? t to application not 8 Ruabon Close ments. 28 Mayfield Road	contravening initial conditions for the 2 storey side extension and first floor extension over garage Two storey rear extension and single		
these were the rig 'back door' of soc Approved subject site. SMD/2019/0296 No adverse command of the social structure of	ght houses. Is this edial development? It to application not 8 Ruabon Close nents. 28 Mayfield Road nents, subject to not	contravening initial conditions for the 2 storey side extension and first floor extension over garage Two storey rear extension and single storey sun room valid neighbour concerns.		
these were the rig 'back door' of soo Approved subject site. SMD/2019/0296 No adverse common SMD/2019/0312	ght houses. Is this estial development? It to application not 8 Ruabon Close ments. 28 Mayfield Road ments, subject to not	contravening initial conditions for the 2 storey side extension and first floor extension over garage Two storey rear extension and single storey sun room valid neighbour concerns. The proposed development is to build		
these were the rig 'back door' of soc Approved subject site. SMD/2019/0296 No adverse command of the social structure of	ght houses. Is this edial development? It to application not 8 Ruabon Close nents. 28 Mayfield Road nents, subject to not	contravening initial conditions for the 2 storey side extension and first floor extension over garage Two storey rear extension and single storey sun room valid neighbour concerns.		

on the site.

This development would not be ideal for the allotment holders on Moorland Road.

Approved subject to access/ egress considerations by Highways.

SMD/2019/0323	Land adjacent	Erection of replacement equestrian
	The Bungalow	building
	Baileys Bank	
Councillor Jones t	ook the Chair.	

Councillor Rogers queried the design of the previous building, which had been on wheels.

Councillor Garvey wondered whether there had been a size change.

Councillor Jones noted there were no developments around this site.

Councillor Smith noted that this was now twice the original size; Councillor Salt felt it would be necessary to check that there was no encroachment on the greenbelt.

Councillor Perking felt that the Town Council should support this development.

No adverse comments.

14. DECISIONS AND NOTICES RECEIVED FROM THE DISTRICT COUNCIL

		SMDC Decision	Town Council Decision
SMD/2019/0124	12 Holywell	Approved	Subject to no valid
	Close		neighbour concerns
SMD/2019/0158	Biddulph	Approved	No adverse comments
	Grange NT		
SMD/2019/0199	65 Tower	Approved	No adverse comments
	Hill Road		
SMD/2019/0209	30	Approved	No adverse comments
	Meadowside		
		Approved	Subject to no valid
			neighbour planning
			concerns and
			clarification that this does not affect the
			public footpath
SMD/2019/0239	255	Approved	No adverse comments
31010/2019/0239	Congleton	Approved	No adverse comments
	Road		
HNT/2019/0005	163 Park	Approved	No adverse comments
	Lane		
SMD/2019/0129	Biddulph	Approved	No adverse comments
	Grange NT		
SMD/2019/0179	7 Wraggs	Approved	No adverse comments
	Lane		

SMD/2019/0207	2 Lyneside	Approved	No adverse comments
	Road		

Received.

8. APPEAL

SMD/2019/0110 6 Nevin Avenue

Town Council comments:

Subject to neighbours valid planning concerns and confirmation of the roof height.

- Councillor Hawley noted that this did not seem to be excessive, subject to confirmation that the roof height is acceptable.
- Councillor Nicosia felt that there seemed to be enough space around the property.
- Councillor Court felt there was no problem with the dormer.
- Councillor Rogers noted there were several similar properties in the area.

SMD/2018/0746 Troughstones Farm

Town Council comments:

Received.

Councillor Hawley noted that this application was in the greenbelt, but was an extension to an existing dwelling.

All agreed - no adverse comments; dependent on greenbelt regulations.

The meeting	closed	at	ا6.25	om

Cianatura	Data
Signature	Date